

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,438	10/21/2003	Minh Tran	OP-7-1	3709	
	7590 12/28/2006 E CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
680 VAQUERO	OS AVENUE '		PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-3523		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	V MODE	
SHOKIENED STATOTOK	T TERIOD OF RESI ONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/690,438	TRAN, MINH				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pedro Philogene	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,16 and 18-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,6-12,16,18,21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinary	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/20/06 has been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4,6-12,16, 18-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,561. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is clear that all the elements of claims 1-

4, 6-12,16,18-24. The difference between claims of the application and claims of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include many more elements and are thus much more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1-21 of the patent is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1-4,6-12, 16, 18-24. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See in re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims of the application are anticipated by claims of the patent, they are not patentably distinct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,3,6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Papay et al. (6,517,542).

With respect to claim 1, Papay et al disclose a bone anchor device (40) comprising an anchor body (42) comprising a longitudinal axis extending from a proximal end and a distal end, the anchor body having a size suitable for being inserted into bone tunnel or passageway drilled in a human bone; at least three suture retaining apertures (74) (capable of being used as suture retaining apertures) axially spaced along the axis in the anchor body such that a distance along the longitudinal axis is

Application/Control Number: 10/690,438

Art Unit: 3733

apertures extending through the anchor body wherein the suture retaining aperture extend in a direction substantially transverse to the longitudinal axis; and a bone securing structure (48) for securing the anchor body in bone.

With respect to claims3, 6-12, Papay et disclose all the limitations; asset forth in column 2, lines 30-67, col;umn 3, lines 1-67, column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-67; and asbest seen in FIGS.1-25.

Claims 16, 18,21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Martin (5,693,060).

With respect to claim 16, Martin discloses a bone anchoring device for attaching connective tissue to bone, comprising an anchor body (1) comprising two surfaces (4,5) and made from material that is compatible with the human body and suitable for implantation in the human body; a suture retaining aperture (3) disposed in the anchor body and extending through both of the surfaces; as best seen in FIG.4; and a length of suturing material (20) extending through the suture retaining apertures (3) wherein the suture material is a material that is compatible with human body and suitable for implantation in the human body wherein the length of suturing material is looped; as best seen in FIG.4 about said anchor body and contacts substantial portions of both of the two surfaces and wherein a first portion of the length of suturing material is looped over a second portion of the length of suturing material; as best seen in FIGS.4-7, the second portion of which lies in contacting engagement with one of the surfaces of the anchor body; as best seen in FIGS.4-7.

With respect to claims 18, 21-24, Martin discloses all the limitations; asset forth in column 2, lines 17-67, column 3, lines 1-67, column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-67, column 6, lines 1-49; and as best seen in FIGS.1-7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/20/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,3,6-12,16,18,21-24 under 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Papay et al/Martin.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,438

Art Unit: 3733

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene December 11, 2006

PEDRO PHILOGEXE